# SYNTHESIS AND BIOLOGICAL ACTIVITY OF ANTAGONISTS OF LUTEINIZING HORMONE-RELEASING HORMONE (LH-RH)

Kari U. Prasad and Roger W. Roeske\*

Department of Biochemistry Indiana University School of Medicine Indianapolis, Indiana 46202

Cyril Y. Bowers

Tulane University School of Medicine 1430 Tulane Avenue New Orleans, Louisiana 70112

Received July 1,1975

Three analogs of LH-RH were synthesized by the solid phase method and were tested for agonist and antagonist activity in vitro. Des-His^-[3-(2-naphthyl)-Ala]-LH-RH(I) and des-His^-[3-(2-naphthyl)-Ala]-LH-RH(II) have no agonist activity and inhibit the release of LH and FSH by LH-RH. Des-His^-[ $\delta$ -N-Isopropylorn°]-LH-RH has slight agonist activity and also significant antagonist activity at the doses tested.

## INTRODUCTION

The isolation and structure elucidation of luteinizing hormone-releasing hormone (LH-RH), pGlu-His-Trp-Ser-Tyr-Gly-Leu-Arg-Pro-Gly-NH<sub>2</sub> (1,2), has led to the synthesis and testing of many analogs for agonist and antagonist activity. The search for antagonists of peptide hormones is still an empirical exercise. Our approach here has been to combine the known inhibitory effect of omitting the histidine residue in position 2 (3,4) with our previous experience of producing partial agonists by more-or-less isosteric replacements in positions 3 and 8 (5). Thus we report the synthesis and in vitro activity of des-His<sup>2</sup>-[3-(2-naphthyl)-Ala<sup>3</sup>]-LH-RH(I), des-His<sup>2</sup>-[3-(2-naphthyl)-Ala<sup>3</sup>, D-Ala<sup>6</sup>]-LH-RH(II) and des-His<sup>2</sup>-[6-N-isopropylorn<sup>8</sup>]-LH-RH(III).

#### EXPERIMENTAL

The three analogs were synthesized by the solid phase method (6) on 1% crosslinked chloromethyl polystyrene containing 0.75 meq Cl/g, supplied by Lab Systems Inc., San Mateo, Ca. Reaction of the resin with Boc Gly triethylammonium salt gave 0.37 mmole Gly/g resin. The syntheses were carried out in a glass apparatus with stirring by a stream of nitrogen.  $\alpha$ -N-Boc amino acids were used throughout; the side chain of Arg was protected as the N<sup>G</sup>-tosyl derivative and those of Tyr and Ser as the benzyl ether.

Coupling reactions were carried out with dicyclohexyl carbodimide and the Boc groups were removed by successive treatments with N HCl in HOAc for 25 min and 25% trifluoroacetic acid in MeCl<sub>2</sub> for 15 min. The decapeptide amides obtained by ammonolysis of the protected peptide resin were reduced by Na in liquid NH<sub>3</sub> (7) and purified by desalting on Sephadex G-15, eluting with 50% HOAc, gel filtration on Sephadex G-15, eluting with 0.2 N HOAc and finally by partition chromatography on Sephadex G-25 (fine) with 1-BuOH, HOAc, H<sub>2</sub>O (4:1:5). Based on Boc Gly resin, the yields of pure peptides I-III were 24.7%, 32.9% and 28.1%, respectively. Analytical data on I, II and III are presented in Table I.

## BIOLOGICAL METHODS

The determination of hormonal activities was performed <u>in vitro</u> using pituitaries of 20 day old female Sprague-Dawley rats (Charles River Laboratory). Two pituitaries were incubated at  $37^{\circ}$  in 1 ml of lactated Ringer's solution (Travenol Laboratories) in 10 ml Teflon beakers in a Dubnoff shaker. The pituitaries were incubated for a total of 6 hrs. Medium was removed each hour for radioimmuno-assay (RIA) of LH and FSH and then fresh medium was added. After two preincubation periods  $(P_1, P_2)$ , a LH-RH analog was added to the

Table I: Analytical data of LH-RH analogs

Analog I:  $[\alpha]_D^{23}$  - 48.17° (c, 0.69, 1M HOAc); TLC<sup>a)</sup>  $R_f^1$  0.27,  $R_f^2$  0.57,  $R_f^3$  0.64; TLE<sup>b)</sup>  $E_{Glu}$  0.19; Amino acid anal.<sup>c)</sup> Glu 0.99, 3-(2-Naphthyl)-Ala 1.0, Ser 0.84, Tyr 0.99, Gly 1.99, Leu 0.93, Arg 0.99, Pro 1.0.

Anal. calcd. for  $C_{51}$ ,  $H_{69}N_{13}O_{12}$ .  $CH_{3}COOH$ . 3  $H_{2}O$ :  $C_{54.59}$ ;  $H_{50}$ ,  $H_{50}$ ;  $H_{50}$ ,  $H_{50}$ ;  $H_{50}$ 

Found: C, 54.57; H, 6.73; N, 15.69.

Analog II:  $[\alpha]_D^{23}$  - 44.4° (c, 0.49, lM HOAc);  $TLC^{a}$   $R_f^1$  0.29,  $R_f^2$  0.60,  $R_f^3$  0.64;  $TLE^{b}$   $E_{Glu}$  0.21; Amino acid anal. Glu 1.0, 3-(2-Naphthyl)-Ala 0.87, Ser 0.78, Tyr 0.93, Ala 1.0, Leu 0.97, Arg 1.0, Pro 0.99, Gly 0.99.

<u>Anal.</u> calcd. for  $C_{52}H_{71}N_{13}O_{12}$ .  $CH_{3}COOH$ . 4  $H_{2}O$ : C, 53.94; H, 6.95; N, 15.14.

Found: C, 53.86; H, 7.07; N, 14.90.

Analog III:  $[\alpha]_D^{23}$  - 47.46° (C, 0.48, 1M HOAc;  $TLC^{a)}$   $R_f^1$  0.23,  $R_f^2$  0.57,  $R_f^3$  0.59;  $TLE^{b)}$   $E_{Glu}$  0.26; Amino acid anal.<sup>c)</sup> Glu 1.03, Trp 1.1, Ser 0.88, Tyr 1.01, Gly 2.01, Leu 1.0,  $\delta$ -N-Isopropylorn 0.96, Pro 0.99.

Anal. calcd. for  $C_{51}H_{72}N_{12}O_{12}$ . 2  $H_2O$ : C, 56.65; H, 7.09; N, 15.54.

Found: C, 56.63; H, 6.92; N, 15.25

a) R<sub>f</sub>, R<sub>f</sub>, and R<sub>f</sub> values refer to the solvent systems 1-BuOH-HOAc-H<sub>2</sub>O (4:1:5), 1-BuOH-HOAc-H<sub>2</sub>O-EtOAc (1:1:1:1) and 1-BuOH-HOAc-H<sub>2</sub>O-Pyr (30:6:24:20), respectively. Silica gel plates were used for tlc.

b) E<sub>Glu</sub> is the relative mobility on TLE with respect to Glu (= 1.0) at 400 V for 2 hrs and in pH 1.9 buffer on silica gel plate.

c) Analyses were carried out by AAA Laboratories, Seattle, Washington 98125.

incubation medium during the last 4 hourly incubation periods ( $I_3$ ,  $I_4$ ,  $I_5$ ,  $I_6$ ) and LH-RH was added at  $I_5$  and  $I_6$ . The studies were performed in triplicate. All results represent the Amag/ml mean LH and FSH release of 6 values  $\pm$  SEM. The  $\Delta$  values were calculated by subtracting the amount of hormonal release at  $I_3$ ,  $I_4$ ,  $I_5$  and  $I_6$  from the respective hormonal release at  $P_2$ . The agonist activity was determined from the hormonal release at  $I_3$  and  $I_4$ , and the antagonist activity from the hormonal release at  $I_5$  and  $I_6$ . The RIA reagents for FSH were distributed by NIAMDD, NIH. Dr. G. Niswender supplied the anti-ovine LH serum No. 15 for the rat LH-assay, and Dr. L. E. Reichert supplied an ovine LH preparation for labeling and the LH rat reference preparation. The values for these assays are calculated in terms of millimicrograms of the following standards: LH-LER-1240-2 (0.60 NIH-LH-SI units/mg) and FSH (2.1 NIH-FSH-SI units/mg).

TABLE II

DES-HIS<sup>2</sup>-[3-(2-NAPHTHYL)-L-ALA<sup>3</sup>]-LH-RH IN VITRO AGONIST ACTIVITY

	Dose Peptide	- -					
#	<sup>+</sup> 3, <sup>+</sup> 4	LH			FSH		
	m g/ml medium	m g/ml medium	SEM	p value vs 2	m g/ml medium	SEM	p value vs 2
1	-	5	± 13	ns	- 1211	± 463	ns
2	-	14	± 28	-	338	± 671	-
3	100	2	± 35	ns	832	±1212	ns
4	1,000	0	± 35	ns	1281	± 536	ns
5	10,000	12	± 12	ns	2291	±1266	ns
6	100,000	- 18	± 4	ns	- 60	± 726	ns

## RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

The data in Tables II-V show that the two analogs containing a naphthylalanine residue have no LH-RH agonist activity in vitro, and that both analogs inhibit the action of added LH-RH. The better antagonist of the two, des-His<sup>2</sup>-[3-(2-naphthyl)-L-Ala<sup>3</sup>, D-Ala<sup>6</sup>]-LH-RH, completely blocks the release of both LH and FSH at 1  $\mu$ g/ml medium, i.e., at a concentration about 3,000 times that of LH-RH. The other, des-His<sup>2</sup>-[3-(2-naphthyl)-L-Ala<sup>3</sup>]-LH-RH, also inhibits completely the release of LH at 1  $\mu$ g/ml but shows inhibition of FSH release only at 10 and 100  $\mu$ g/ml.

It is well known that a D-residue in position 6 heightens both the agonist and antagonist response of LH-RH analogs, probably by stabilizing a conformation that binds to the receptor well (3,4) and/or by providing resistance to proteolytic breakdown of the peptide.

TABLE III

DES-HIS<sup>2</sup>-[3-(2-NAPHTHYL)-L-ALA<sup>3</sup>]-LH-RH <u>IN VITRO</u> ANTAGONIST ACTIVITY

	Dose Peptide	LH-RH	LH			FSH		
#	I <sub>3</sub> ,I <sub>4</sub> ,I <sub>5</sub> ,I <sub>6</sub> mµg/ml mediu	I <sub>5</sub> ,I <sub>6</sub>	Δmug/ml medium	SEM	p value vs 2	Δmμg/ml medium	SEM	p value vs 2
1	Saline	_	1	±24	<.001	-1014	± 501	<.001
2	-	0.3	134	± 9	-	5342	±1150	-
3	100	0.3	201	±37	ns	7319	± 905	ns
4	1,000	0.3	24	±21	<.001	6178	±1079	ns
5	10,000	0.3	14	± 7	<.001	2901	± 379	∿.05
6	100,000	0.3	-31	±11	<.001	84	± 323	<.001

TABLE IV DES-HIS<sup>2</sup>-[3-(2-NAPHTHYL)-L-ALA<sup>3</sup>]-[D-ALA<sup>6</sup>]-LH-RH IN VITRO AGONIST ACTIVITY

#	Dose Peptide I <sub>3</sub> ,I <sub>4</sub>	LH		The same of the sa	FSH		
	mµg/ml medium	Δmμg/ml medium	SEM	p value vs l	Δmμg/ml medium	SEM	p value vs l
1	-	-16	±21	-	- 501	± 325	-
2	100	46	±34	ns	- 957	± 925	ns
3	1,000	4	±17	ns	- 522	±1265	ns
4	10,000	13	±21	ns	380	± 268	ns
5	100,000	- 1	±10	ns	-1323	± 178	.05
6							

TABLE V DES-HIS<sup>2</sup>-[3-(2-NAPHTHYL)-L-ALA<sup>3</sup>]-[D-ALA<sup>6</sup>]-LH-RH <u>IN</u> <u>VITRO</u> ANTAGONIST ACTIVITY

	Dose Peptide	Dose Peptide LH-RH			FSH				
#	I3,I4,I5,I6 mug/ml me	I <sub>5</sub> ,I <sub>6</sub>	Δmμg/ml medium	SEM	p value vs l	Δmμg/ml medium	SEM	p value vs l	
1	-	.3	207	±18	-	3079	± 253	_	
2	100	.3	180	±27	ns	3929	±1493	ns	
3	1,000	.3	20	±17	.001	-1649	±1122	.01	
4	10,000	.3	4	±15	.001	- 634	± 147	.001	
5	100,000	.3	4	±12	.001	-2400	± 325	.001	
6									

TABLE VI DES-HIS<sup>2</sup>-[6-N-ISOPROPYL-ORN<sup>8</sup>]-LH-RH <u>IN</u> <u>VITRO</u> AGONIST ACTIVITY

	Dose Peptide	LH FSH									
#	I <sub>3</sub> ,I <sub>4</sub> mµg/ml medium	Δmμg/ml medium	SEM	p value vs 2	Δmμg/ml medium	SEM	p value vs 2				
1	-	5	± 8	ns	596	±177	ns				
2	-	-1	± 6	-	840	±192	-				
3	100	14	±10	ns	284	±247	ns				
4	1,000	20	± 7	.05	1860	±416	.05				
5	10,000	25	± 8	.05	1733	±361	.05				
6	100,000	16	±13	ns	674	±238	ns				

TABLE VII DES-HIS<sup>2</sup>-[6-N-ISOPROPYL ORN<sup>8</sup>]-LH-RH <u>IN VITRO</u> ANTAGONIST ACTIVITY

	Dose Peptide	LH-RH	LH			FSH		
#	I <sub>3</sub> ,I <sub>4</sub> ,I <sub>5</sub> ,I <sub>6</sub> mµg/ml m	I <sub>5</sub> ,I <sub>6</sub> edium	Δmμg/ml medium	SEM	p value vs 2	Δmμg/ml medium	SEM	p value vs 2
1	-	-	25	±14	.01	374	±158	.001
2	-	.3	111	±22	-	7360	±745	-
3	100	.3	103	± 9	ns	6245	±312	ns
4	1,000	.3	125	±10	ns	6468	±461	ns
5	10,000	.3	67	±13	ns	4135	±438	.01
6	100,000	•3	54	±15	.05	1698	±385	.001

Analog III (Tables VI and VII) has slight agonist activity at 1  $\mu g$  and 10  $\mu g$  but it is not dose-related. It inhibits LH and FSH release significantly at 10  $\mu g/ml$  but not at 1 or 0.1  $\mu g$ .

### ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

We wish to express our appreciation to Dr. Marvin Karten of the National Institutes of Health for helpful discussions and to Mrs. Eve Perlstein for technical assistance. The work was supported by contracts NOI-HD-3-2732 and NOI-HD-2-2713 with the National Institutes of Health and by the Grace M. Showalter Residuary Trust.

#### REFERENCES

- Matsuo, H., Baba, Y., Nair, R.M.G., Arimura, A., and Schally, A.V. (1971) Biochem. Biophys. Res. Comm., 43, 1334-1339.
- Amoss, M., Burgus, R., Blackwell, R., Vale, W., Fellows, R., and Guillemin, R. (1971) Ibid. 33, 205-210.
- Vale, W., Grant, G., Rivier, J., Amoss, M., Blackwell, R., Burgus, R., and Guillemin, R. (1972) Science, 176, 933-934.
- Monohan, M.W., Amoss, M.S., Anderson, H.A., and Vale, W. (1973) Biochemistry, <u>12</u>, 4616-4620.
- 5. Prasad, K.U., Roeske, R.W., Weitl, F.L., Vilchez-Martinez, J.A., and Schally, A.V. (1975). Presentation at IV American Peptide Symposium, New York, N.Y. June 1-6.
- 6. Merrifield, R.B. (1963) J. Amer. Chem. Soc., 85, 2149-2154.
- Hope, D.B., Murti, V.V.S., and du Vigneaud, V. (1962) J. Biol. Chem., 237, 1563-1566.